### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The project has been supported by the Rubber Association of Indonesia (GAPKINDO), the Centre de cooperation internationale en recherche agronomique pour le developpement (CIRAD/ France), and ICRAF with funding from the Agrobusiness Development Project of USAID. Other who cooperate in this endeavor are the Indonesian Rubber Research Institute particularly the Balai Penelitian Sembawa (BPS), the Center for Research in Food Crops in Indonesia (CRIFC/Indonesia), the Institut francais de recherche scientifique pour le developpement (ORSTOM/France) and development projects such as the German Technical Cooperation (GTZ) Social Forestry Development Project (SFDP) in West Kalimantan and Pro-RLK (Critical land rehabilitation project) in West Sumatra.

#### **BIODATA**

ERIC PENOT is a farming systems agronomist working with ICRAF's Southeast Asia Program, seconded from the Centre de cooperation internationale en recherche agronomique pour le developpement (CIRAD, Tree crop department, rubber program).

His mailing address is Southeast Asia Regional Research Program.

Jalan Gunung Batu No. 5, PO BOX 161, Bogor, Indonesia; Fax. +62 251 625 416

E mail: Penot@cirad.fr or Penot@indo.net.id

AFS. BUDIMAN is a polymer scientist, working as the Executive Director of GAPKINDO, the Rubber Association of Indonesia since 1988.

He is responsible for the daily activities of the association, comprising improvement of smallholder raw material quality, development of partnership between association members and rubber farmer groups, total quality assurance in rubber production and development of improved rubber agroforestry.

E Mail: karetind@mega.net.id.

Table 1. SITE CHARACTERIZATION OF PROJECT AREAS

factors	West Kalimantan Forest margins with poor soils and transmigration areas.	Jambi Forest margins	West Sumatra Very degraded land		
a) Dayak (Christians) b) Javanese transmigrants (Muslim).		Melayu (Muslim)	Minang (Muslim)		
population desity	a) low with plenty of land     b) high with limited land     (2 ha)	low with plenty of land	low with limited land (marginal lands)		
ecological environment	ogical a) secondary forst, jungle a) forest a		Imperata infested land with steep slopes, poor soils, erosion and maximum elevation for rubber (500 to 600 meters)		
a) extensive systems slash and strategies  a) extensive systems slash and burn for local upland rice, willing to accept a low level of intensification.  b) intensive with sawah and rubber on uplands. Not willing to accept intensification of upland.		a) extensive, limited upland rice, slash and burn for cinnamon planting. Reluctant to accept labour intensification.     b) extensive, slash and burn for rice and palawija production. Willing to accept a certain level of intensification.	Very intensive with conti- nuous food intercropping on tree based systems (rubber). Very keen to intensify.		
Main constraints	a) low productivity of jungle rubber, Imperata infested. b) very degraded land with Imperata on a very limited cropping area (2 ha). High pressure of Colletotrichum (leaf disease)	a) low productivity of jungle rubber, vertebrate pests on new rubber plantations,     b) low productivity of jungle rubber Mikania     Pigs and Monkeys	Elevation : maximum for rubber. Rubber leaf disease Low availability of inputs. Pigs depredation		
opportunities  a) available land Presence of SRDP/ TCSDP *3 Existing old complex agroforestry practices b) farmers motivated		land available Existing old complex agroforestry practices very good access to markets	very good motivation for intensification		
On Farm trials priority	a) RAS 1 and RAS 2 b) RAS 2 and RAS 3	RAS 1 RAS 2	RAS 2		

<sup>\*1) =</sup>Tembawang are indigenous fruit and timber based complex agroforesty systems where the main tree is often

<sup>\*2) =</sup> Imperata and Mikania are major weeds which limit growth of crops.

\*3) = SRDP and TCSDP are rubber development projects funded by World Bank based on monoculture.

Table 2. TYPOLOGY OF ON-FARM TRIALS AND NUMBER OF FARMERS INVOLVED

RAS Type	RAS 1	RAS 2.1 and RAS 2.2	RAS 2.5	RAS 3	
Type of trials	Clones in jungle rubber environment	rubber + associated trees + intercrops	rubber + cinnamon	rubber + associated trees + covercrops	
Jambi	15	7	8	0	
West Kalimantan	25	22	0	15	
West Sumatra	0	8	0	0	
Total farmers	40	37	8	15	

RAS = Rubber Agroforestry System

1

Total number of farmers involved in on-farm RAS trials: 100 (1 farmers = 1 replication with 1 or 2 treatment, 1 treatment has several plots).

# **ANNEX**

# TABLES 4.x: RESULTS FROM A FARMING SYSTEMS SURVEY IN JAMBI and WEST KALIMANTAN in 1997 by, A Kelfoun, Ph Courbet & E Penot. SRAP.

In 1997, ICRAF/SRAP implemented a farming system survey in order to characterize farming systems in Jambi province (kabupaten Bungo Tebo), in 5 villages with 68 farmers and in West kalimantan (Kabupaten Sanggau, Sekadau and Sintang) in 8 villages with 156 farmers. The sampling method was based on the selection of SRAP farmers (those who have a RAS trial) and non project farmers, selected on a randomized basis (but with farming as their main activity). All costs and incomeS calculation of that of July 1997.

#### MAIN OUTPUTS IN JAMBI PROVINCE

### **CROPPING SYSTEMS LEVEL**

Table 4.1 Comparison of various cropping systems net benefit :

Cropping systems	Net income (.000 Rp/ha/year)
rubber jungle rubber	1 341
clonal monoculture	2 937
Sawah local varieties	697
Improved varieties	1 120
Ladang (upland rice)	529
Cinnamon	2 000

Table 4.2
Comparison between different cropping systems in terms of return to labour in Rp/manday

Cropping Systems	Net income (.000 Rp/manday)
Hévéa jungle rubber	22 300
Clonal monoculture	54 200
Sawah local varieties	4 800
Improved varieties	8 200
Ladang	8 000

# **FARMING SYSTEM LEVEL**

Table 4.3: NET INCOME FROM RUBBER PER FARM PER VILLAGE

	Sepunggur	Muara Buat	Rantau Pandan	Sukadamai	Saptamulia
Type of village	Plain	Piedmont	Piedmond	Transmi Gration	Transmi Gration
Average Production per farm (kg/year)	3651	2140	2206	2920	8824
Value of the production (.000 Rp/year)	3459	2033	2041	2392	8383
Net income from hévéa <sup>3</sup> (.000 Rp/year)	3411	2015	2003	2283	7951

# **TOTAL FARM INCOME**

Table 4.4: Total average farm income per village

	Sepunggur	Muara Buat	Rantau Pandan	Sukadamai	Saptamulia
Total average farm income (.000 Rp/year)	4325	4925	6660 non representa- tive sample	1679 young plantation	9831 mature plantation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> NB : Income is calculated with production value minus inputs costs (labour not included ).

# MAIN OUTPUT IN WEST KALIMANTAN

# **CROPPING SYSTEMS LEVEL**

Table 4.5 NET INCOME PER TYPE OF CROPPING SYSTEM, per year and per ha

*	Rubber system	No of farmers	Net income (.000 Rp/ha/year)
Bali	Jungle rubber	11	1 008
Engkayu	Jungle rubber	19	837
Embaong	SRDP	9	2 285
Kopar	Jungle rubber	17	732
Pariban baru	Jungle rubber	11	811
Sanjan	SRDP	14	2 021
Trimulia	Jungle rubber	1	702
Sukamulia	Jungle rubber	3	595

Table 4.6 Comparison of various cropping systems income

Cropping systems	Net income (.000 Rp/ha/year)
rubber jungle rubber	820
clonal monoculture	2 124
Sawah local varieties	460
Improved varieties	948
Ladang (upland rice)	289

### RETURN TO LABOUR for VARIOUS CROPPING SYSTEMS

Table 4.7 : Comparison between different cropping systemes in terms of return to labour

Cropping Systems	Net return to labour (.000 Rp/manday)
Hévéa jungle rubber	9 600
Clonal monoculture	27 200
Sawah local varieties	4 000
Improved varieties	8 100
Ladang	2 100

#### FARMING SYSTEM LEVEL

These calculations take into account the average area per cropping system per farm.

#### RUBBER

Average price of rubber in July 1997 is 1100 rp/kg of slab , so 2200 rp/kg of dry rubber 100 % DRC in the area.

# NET INCOME FROM RUBBER PER FARM PER VILLAGE Table 4.8 Net income from hévéa<sup>4</sup>(.000 Rp/year)

Village	Bali	Kopar	Pariban baru	Sanjan	Suka- Mulia	Trimulia	Embaong	Engkayu
Net income	990	674	807	2 253	596	750	2 177	837

#### TOTAL FARM INCOME

Calculated farm income is the sum of incomes from all cropping systems.

Cash flow is the real income available (basically rice from sawah and ladang is never sold but self-consumed)

Table 4.9

Village Net income	Bali	Bali	Kopar	Pariban baru	Sanjan	Suka- mulia	Trimulia	Embaong	Engkayu
Calculated total income	5013	2022	1613	3816	4701	4914	2414	3940	1627
Real cash flow			1058	2954	4127	4231	1970	3553	1094
Income after food purchases	4405	1714	1079	2147	1954	2783	1345	2007	1173
Main source of income	Oil palm	Oil palm	Jungle rubber	Jungle rubber	Clonal rubber	Off farm	Off farm	Clonal rubber	Jungle rubber

The difference between real cash flow and incomes after food purchase for the villages of Kopar and Engkayu comes form the sales of fruits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NB : Income is calculated with production value minus input costs (labour not included ).

TABLE 5.1. BIOMASS AND NUTRIENT CONTENT OF LITTER ACCUMULATION UNDER DIFFERENT VEGETATION SYSTEM

Vegetation	Biomass (Kg/ha)		Total Nutrient (Kg/ha)				
		N	Р	K	Ca	Mg	
Rubber	3000	100	14	46	46	25	231
Natural Forest	8400	140	10	60	63	40	303

Source; Gunatilleke et. al., 1995

Natural Rubber : An Ecofriendly Material

TABLE 5.2. NUTRIENT REMOVAL OF DIFFERENT CROPS ACCORDING TO YIELD

Crop	Yield (Kg/ha)	Nutrient removal (Kg/ha) Tot				Total
		N	Р	Κ	Mg	
Rubber	1000	11	3	10	2,5	25,5
Tea	3000	200	10	65	10	285
Coconut	1500	65	18	57	17	157

Source: Samarappuli, 1996 C.

Natural Rubber: An Ecofriendly Material

Table 6. Estimates of Floral Biomass Potential for Various Ecosystems

Ecosystems	Biomass dry weight (t ha <sup>-1)</sup>	References		
Humid tropical evergreen forest				
Malaysia: Pasoh	475-664	Kato, et.al., 1978		
Mulu	210-650	Proctor, et.al., 1983		
Thailand: Khao Chong	331	Kato, et.al., 1980		
New Guinea:	295-310	Edwards, et.al., 1977		
Brazil: Manaus	473	Jose, et.al., 1986		
Hevea rubber plantations				
Five years (Fertilizer trials)	60.1-76.8	Sivanadyan, et.al., 1984		
(Commercial)	48.6	Shorrocks, 1965a		
Eleven years	206.1	Shorrocks, 1965a		
Twenty-four years	248.6	Shorrocks, 1965a		
Thirty-three years	444.9	Shorrocks, 1965a		
Thirty-three years (untapped)	963.8	Shorrocks, 1965a		
Oil palm plantation				
Five years	40.1	Ng.et.al., 1968		
Eleven years	69.5			

Estimate of biomass mainly made for above ground plant parts \* Biomass estimates for Khao Chong (Kato et.al., 1978)

Source: Sivanadyan K. & Norhayati Moris Natural Rubber : An Ecofriendly

Material